

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- ☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☒ Airtel

PRECEDENCE:

- ☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- ☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date 3/3/86

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
 FROM : SAC, BOSTON (194C-413) (P)
 SUBJECT: UNSUB(S);
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
 CITY OF BOSTON, ~~MA~~
 HOBBS ACT - CPO
 OO: BOSTON

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and three copies of an LHM setting forth the synopsis of this matter.

A copy of the LHM is being disseminated to the U.S. Attorney's (USA's) Office, Boston, Massachusetts.

Investigation at Boston is continuing.

IDE-257

IV-82

(2) Bureau (Encls. 4)
 2-Boston
 NSS/dac
 (4)

ENCLOSURE

Tech. Crime DIS/DOJ

1st/2nd/3rd

Dwyer/V 2 3/15/86

4 MAR 15 1986

Approved: _____

Transmitted _____

(Number)

(Time)

108 JUN 5 1986



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Boston, Massachusetts

March 3, 1986

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF BOSTON

This matter was predicated upon receipt of information from Detective [redacted] Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority (MBTA) Police Department, Boston, Massachusetts, who advised that it has been reported to him that [redacted] a [redacted] which is located on [redacted] Boston, Massachusetts, has been solicited by a Public Works Department employee for a \$5,000.00 payoff. Supposedly, the \$5,000.00 is to speed up and ensure approval of two permits which have been filed with the City of Boston by [redacted]

[redacted] is attempting to temporarily relocate [redacted] while MBTA construction is being performed at [redacted] Boston, Massachusetts. The two applications requested that permits be issued for a 30-day temporary license to operate a [redacted] Boston, Massachusetts. Telephone lines must be installed for the [redacted] which would require permission by the Department of Public Works.

On January 29, 1986, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [redacted] District of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts, was contacted concerning this investigation. AUSA [redacted] concurred that this investigation was warranted and advised that he would prosecute any Department of Public Works employees if sufficient evidence was developed to indicate criminal activity.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency nor duplicated within your agency.

194-5827-1
ENCLOSURE
1*

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date 10/9/86

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
 FROM: SAC, BOSTON (194C-413) (C)
 SUBJECT: UNSUB (S);
~~PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT~~
 CITY OF BOSTON, MA
 HOBBS ACT - CPO;
 OO: BOSTON

194-5827-1

Re Boston airtel and LHM to Bureau, 3/3/86.

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and three copies of an LHM setting forth the synopsis of this matter.

A copy of the LHM is being disseminated to the United States Attorney's (USA's) Office, Boston, Massachusetts.

On October 3, 1986, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [redacted] District of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts, declined prosecution on this matter since no subjects have been developed and the fact that the victim, [redacted] has not been truthful in his statements as to the facts of this matter.

No further investigation is being conducted by Boston Division.

2-Bureau (Encs. 4)
 2-Boston
 NSS/str
 (4)

ENCLOSURE
 2cc. crim. Div, Public Integ.
 1cc. airtel - WCC
 EDYda

1*

194-5827-2

OCT 16 1986

Approved: _____

Transmitted _____

(Number)

(Time)

Per _____

OCT 14 1986



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Boston, Massachusetts

October 9, 1986

UNKNOWN SUBJECT (S);
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
CITY OF BOSTON,
HOBBS ACT - CORRUPTION OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS

This matter was predicated upon receipt of information from Detective [redacted] Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority (MBTA) Police Department, Boston, Massachusetts, who advised that it had been reported to him that [redacted] a [redacted] which was formerly located on [redacted] Boston, Massachusetts, had been solicited by a City of Boston, Public Works Department employee for a \$5,000 payoff. Supposedly, the \$5,000 was to expedite an insure approval of two permits which had been filed with the City of Boston by [redacted]

When investigation was initiated into this matter, [redacted] was attempting to relocate [redacted] to a new location in Boston while the MBTA constructed [redacted] at his old address of [redacted] Boston. [redacted] initially applied for two 30-day temporary permits in order to operate a [redacted] in Boston, Massachusetts.

On January 29, 1986, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [redacted] District of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts, was contacted concerning this investigation. AUSA [redacted] concurred that this investigation was warranted and advised that he would prosecute any City of Boston employee if sufficient evidence was developed to indicate violations of Federal law.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency nor duplicated within your agency.

1.



194- 5827-2
ENCLOSURE

UNSUB (S)

[] was interviewed on January 29, 1986 by Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). At that time, [] advised interviewing Agents that he had received a telephone call from an unidentified individual who informed [] that it would cost him \$5,000 in order to obtain the temporary permits he was seeking from the City of Boston. [] advised that he did not recognize the voice of this particular unknown individual.

b6
b7C

On January 29, 1986, [] an employee of the Construction Department of the MBTA, was interviewed by the FBI, Boston, Massachusetts. At that time, [] advised that he had had a telephone conversation with [] on January 17, 1986, and that [] had informed [] that he [] had been approached by a City of Boston official who wanted \$5,000 in order to expedite the issuance of the permits needed by []. [] did not identify the individual to []. During this interview, [] advised that on January 28, 1986, he had a telephone conversation with [] at which time [] advised him that it was not a City of Boston official who had solicited a payoff from him, but an official from another city.

b6
b7C

On [] appeared before a Federal Grand Jury, Boston, Massachusetts. At that time, [] story was that []

b3 Rule 6(e)

On August 28, 1986, [] was interviewed by Agents of the Miami Office of the FBI. At that time, [] advised that he recalled a conversation with [] in January, 1986, at which time [] told him something about a \$10,000 payoff. [] advised that he had no personal knowledge of [] being solicited or a \$10,000 bribe other than the reference mentioned above.

b6
b7C

On October 3, 1986, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [] District of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts, was advised of the above facts. [] declined prosecution of this matter since no violation of Federal law had been established through investigation. [] cited the fact that [] has furnished approximately three different stories as to the facts of the possible solicitation, and based upon [] as a potential witness, the matter did not merit further investigation.

b6
b7C